

Complications Efficacy of Infection Preventive

Chaoqun Zhang*

Department of Osteoarticular Sports and Trauma Surgery, The Affiliated First Hospital of Fuyang Normal University, China

Citation: Zhang C. Complications Efficacy of Infection Preventive. *Medi Clin Case Rep J* 2025;3(3):1156-1158. DOI: doi.org/10.51219/MCCRJ/Chaoqun-Zhang/311

Received: 12 January, 2025; **Accepted:** 14 March, 2025; **Published:** 16 June, 2025

*Corresponding author: Chaoqun Zhang, Department of Osteoarticular Sports and Trauma Surgery, The Affiliated First Hospital of Fuyang Normal University, China

Copyright: © 2025 Zhang C., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

This retrospective study explored the association between osteoarthritis and infectious complications and evaluated infection-preventive nursing interventions in 60 patients with osteoarthritis. Patients were divided into infected group (n=22, with documented joint or systemic infection) and non-infected group (n=38, without infection), with each group split into intervention (infected: n=12; non-infected: n=20) and control (infected: n=10; non-infected: n=18) subgroups. Intervention subgroups received infection-preventive nursing (aseptic technique training, skin integrity management, immunization promotion), while controls received routine care. Primary outcomes included correlation between osteoarthritis severity (Kellgren-Lawrence grade) and infection risk and post-intervention infection recurrence rate at 6 months. Secondary outcomes included C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, antibiotic use duration and wound healing time. Results showed significant positive correlation between Kellgren-Lawrence grade and infection risk ($r=0.65$, $p<0.01$). Intervention subgroups had lower recurrence rate (infected: 16.7% vs 60.0%; non-infected: 5.0% vs 27.8%, $p<0.05$). Infection-preventive nursing reduces infectious complications in osteoarthritis patients, particularly those with severe disease and comorbidities.

Keywords: Osteoarthritis; Skin integrity management; Kellgren-lawrence grade; C-reactive protein

Introduction

Infectious complications, including septic arthritis and soft tissue infections, are serious but underrecognized issues in osteoarthritis patients, with incidence increasing from 2.3% in mild disease to 8.7% in severe cases¹. Advanced osteoarthritis-related joint deformity, skin breakdown and immunosuppressive medication use create vulnerability to infection, which can accelerate joint destruction and increase mortality². This study investigates the osteoarthritis-infection association and evaluates targeted nursing interventions, addressing the lack of infection-specific prevention protocols³.

Methods

Study design and participants

Retrospective analysis of 60 patients with radiographically confirmed osteoarthritis (knee: 40 cases, hip: 20 cases). Inclusion criteria: age 50-85 years; Kellgren-Lawrence grade I-IV; follow-up ≥ 6 months. Infected group defined as positive culture from joint aspirate or wound or clinical signs (erythema, warmth, purulence) with CRP >50 mg/L. Exclusion criteria: rheumatoid arthritis, recent joint surgery and congenital immunodeficiency.

Grouping & interventions

Control subgroups: Routine care (pain management, mobility advice).

Intervention subgroups: Added infection-preventive interventions:

- **Aseptic technique training:** Teaching patients/caregivers wound cleaning, dressing change and joint aspiration site care.
- **Skin integrity management:** Moisturizing protocols for dry skin, pressure ulcer prevention and early detection of skin cracks.
- **Immunization promotion:** Administering pneumococcal and influenza vaccines, monitoring vaccination status.
- **Antibiotic stewardship:** Educating on proper antibiotic use, preventing self-medication and resistance.

Outcome measures

- **Primary:** Correlation between Kellgren-Lawrence grade and infection risk; 6-month infection recurrence rate.
- **Secondary:** CRP levels (mg/L), antibiotic use duration (days) and wound healing time (days).

Statistical analysis

SPSS 26.0 used for Pearson correlation, χ^2 tests and independent t-tests. $p < 0.05$ was significant.

Results

Osteoarthritis-erythema-swelling association and baseline data

Significant positive correlation between Kellgren-Lawrence grade and infection risk ($r=0.65$, $p < 0.01$). Infected group had higher initial Kellgren-Lawrence grade and comorbidity burden (Table 1).

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics.

Characteristics	Infected Group (n=22)	Non-Infected Group (n=38)	p-value
Age (years, $\bar{x} \pm s$)	68.5 \pm 9.2	64.2 \pm 8.7	0.08
Male gender, n(%)	13(59.1)	20(52.6)	0.63
Affected joint (knee/hip)	15(68.2)/7(31.8)	25(65.8)/13(34.2)	0.85
Kellgren-Lawrence grade ($\bar{x} \pm s$)	3.2 \pm 0.7	2.1 \pm 0.8	<0.001
Diabetes mellitus, n(%)	9(40.9)	8(21.1)	0.08
Initial CRP (mg/L, $\bar{x} \pm s$)	87.3 \pm 32.5	12.6 \pm 8.3	<0.001
Immunosuppressant use, n(%)	7(31.8)	5(13.2)	0.07

Primary outcome

- **Severity association:** Each 1-grade increase in Kellgren-Lawrence grade correlated with 2.3-fold higher infection risk ($p < 0.001$).
- **Intervention effect:** Intervention subgroups showed lower recurrence rate (Table 2).

Table 2: 6-Month Infection Recurrence Rate.

Group	Intervention	Control	p-value
Infected Group (n=22)	2/12(16.7%)	6/10(60.0%)	0.028
Non-Infected Group (n=38)	1/20(5.0%)	5/18(27.8%)	0.049

Secondary outcomes

Intervention subgroups demonstrated significant improvements in all secondary measures (Table 3).

Table 3: Secondary Outcomes at 6 Months.

Outcome	Infected Group	Non-Infected Group	p-value (intervention effect)
CRP (mg/L, $\bar{x} \pm s$)	Intervention: 15.2 \pm 6.3	Intervention: 8.7 \pm 4.2	<0.001
	Control: 38.5 \pm 12.1	Control: 16.3 \pm 7.5	-
Antibiotic duration (days, $\bar{x} \pm s$)	Intervention: 14.3 \pm 4.1	Intervention: 0	0.001
	Control: 26.8 \pm 7.5	Control: 5.2 \pm 3.1	-
Wound healing time (days, $\bar{x} \pm s$)	Intervention: 18.5 \pm 5.3	Intervention: 0	0.002
	Control: 32.6 \pm 8.7	Control: 12.5 \pm 4.8	-

Discussion

This study confirms severe osteoarthritis correlates with higher infection risk, consistent with mechanisms involving joint instability-induced skin trauma and chronic inflammation-related immune dysfunction⁴. The 3.2-fold higher Kellgren-Lawrence grade in the infected group aligns with data that advanced joint degeneration disrupts protective barriers⁵.

Infection-preventive interventions reduced complications through aseptic training, which addressed 60% of preventable infections from improper wound care⁶. Skin integrity management prevented entry points for pathogens, while immunization boosted host defence-particularly valuable in elderly patients with age-related immune decline⁷. Notably, the non-infected intervention subgroup achieved 5% infection rate, highlighting prevention value in high-risk populations⁸.

Limitations include lack of long-term microbiological surveillance and potential confounding by unrecorded antibiotic use. Future studies should incorporate pathogen-specific analysis.

Conclusion

Osteoarthritis severity correlates significantly with infectious complication risk. Infection-preventive nursing interventions effectively reduce recurrence, shorten recovery time and lower antibiotic use. These strategies are critical for managing infection risk in osteoarthritis patients, especially those with severe disease.

References

1. Nelson CL, Allen KD, Golightly YM. Musculoskeletal infections in older adults: diagnosis and management. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2020;68(1):174-182.
2. Osmon DR, Berbari EF, Berendt AR, et al. Diagnosis and management of prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013;56(1):e1-e25.
3. Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis: part I: critical appraisal of existing treatment guidelines and systematic review of current research evidence. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2008;16(2):96-110.

4. Hunter DJ, Bierma-Zeinstra SM. Osteoarthritis. *Lancet* 2019;393(10182):1745-1759.
5. Goldring MB, Goldring SR. Osteoarthritis. *J Cell Physiol* 2007;213(3):626-634.
6. Berbari EF, Kanj SS, Kowalski TJ, et al. 2015 Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of native vertebral osteomyelitis in adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015;61(6):26-46.
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Immunization schedules for adults aged 19 years or older, United States, 2023. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2023;72(1):1-52.
8. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, et al. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip and knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2012;64(4):465-474.