Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Data Science

https://urfpublishers.com/journal/artificial-intelligence

Vol: 1 & Iss: 1

Fault Diagnosis of Rolling Bearing Based on Variational Mode Decomposition and Improved Canberra Distance in Symmetrized Dot Pattern Image

Wei Wang, Jian Wang, Yongjian Sun*

*School of Electrical Engineering, University of Jinan, Jinan, Shandong, China

*Corresponding author: Yongjian Sun, Electrical Engineering, University of Jinan, Jinan, Shandong, China. Email: sunyongjian2006@163.com

Citation: Wang, W., Wang, J., & Sun, Y. (2023). Fault Diagnosis of Rolling Bearing Based on Variational Mode Decomposition and Improved Canberra Distance in Symmetrized Dot Pattern Image. *J Artif Intell Mach Learn & Data Sci*, 1(1), 39-48.

Received: 30 December, 2022; Accepted: 09 Marh, 2023; Published: 23 March, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Sun, Y., et al,. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

The classification of time series is an important work in mechanical fault diagnosis. In recent years, image-based signal processing methods have been widely used in bearing fault diagnosis. However, there are still some problems in the signal classification of multiple fault types and weak fault characteristics. In order to improve the classification accuracy of time series, a diagnosis method based on variable mode decomposition (VMD) and improved Canberra distance is proposed. In this method, the decomposed signal is first converted into a symmetrized dot pattern. Then the image features are extracted using Canberra distance and maximum eigenvalue. Through the obtained features, the accurate diagnosis of bearing vibration signal is realized. The experimental results show that the improved Canberra distance has high classification accuracy.

Keywords: Rolling bearing, Symmetrized Dot Pattern, Variational mode decomposition, Canberra distance, Fault diagnosis

Introduction

Rolling bearing is essential for reducing the friction coefficient between the shaft and the shell. In many industries, such as large chemical industries, integration of electromechanical, aerospace, improving the reliability and safety of the bearing is also the guarantee of the stable operation of equipment and personal safety. The failure of the rolling bearing has not fully reached the design life [1]. If the rolling bearings fail, it is likely to cause the entire equipment to stop operation and stagnate industrial production, resulting in unavoidable losses [2]. Therefore, the fault diagnosis of rolling bearing is a very important research field [3].

- 1. The main structure of rolling bearing consists of four parts:
- 2. The inner ring is a bearing ring, and the outer surface of its raceway.
- 3. The outer ring which is fixed on the shell or seat supports the rolling body.
- 4. The sliding coefficient of the outer ring and the inner ring is reduced, and the sliding friction is converted to the problem of the rolling friction.

A cage that divides the rolling elements evenly and makes the rolling elements rotate stably [4].

The problem of this paper is the fault diagnosis of rolling bearing. Fault diagnosis is a meaningful and difficult problem in mechanical equipment. The difficulty of this problem is to use the vibration signal to determine whether the bearing is faulty. Fault diagnosis is realized by analyzing the vibration signal. It is an effective method to improve the signal analysis technology. In addition, it is also a good method to improve the image feature extraction technology. The spectrum analyzer first uses the spectrum of the original signal of the rolling bearings to analyze the fault of the bearings [5]. Spectrum analysis cannot solve the effect of noise on the diagnosis of fault. With the continuous improvement of industrial production requirements and the rapid development of network technology, various new intelligent rolling bearings diagnostic methods have appeared in information science and network technology [6,7]. In particular, many computer technologies such as neural network computing and application, deep learning and other computer technologies have been used in the diagnosis of rolling bearings [8,9]. With the development of artificial intelligence, the new technologies

of rolling bearing fault diagnosis have emerged endlessly [10]. For example, the application of element learning to the diagnosis of bearing faults and the application of multitask convolutional neural networks to diagnosis and localization [11]. There is also a new type of bearing failure to quickly diagnose measuring instruments through the improvement of the source of information collection [12]. Pre-processing the original collected rolling bearing vibration signal. Due to the signal collection process, the collected signal contains noise signals. In order to better distinguish the signal, the signal needs to be processed with noise reduction and retains the effective information of the fault [13].

Signal processing is a direct processing of the original noise signal, where the discrete time signal has multiple signal processing methods, such as digital filter [14]. There are also various signal decomposition methods to choose the best filtering band, such as variational mode decomposition, wavelet packet decomposition, enhanced periodic mode decomposition and adaptive periodic mode decomposition [15,16]. This method decomposes the signal into different information of various frequency bands, which can reduce the noise of covering the fault information [17]. Using different signal decomposition methods, the number of decomposition layers is usually different, and the fault information and functional information contained in each layer are different. There are different processing methods after signal extraction, such as using complex wavelet data packet energy entropy to process the processing signal [18]. There is also a Bayesian optimization method using a Bayesian neural network to deal with the noise signal of the rolling bearing [19,20]. This method can be used to process the data of rolling bearings. In summary, the goal of data processing is to eliminate the influence of noise on fault feature extraction. And the filtering method has been applied to the fault diagnosis of rolling bearings. For example, the automatic filtering scheme has been used in rolling bearing fault diagnosis [21].

Rolling bearing signals can also be converted to various other forms of data, such as time domain diagrams and frequency domain charts. These images can analyze the vibration signals from different angles [22]. The development of image computing and analysis technology is very good to combine bearing vibration signals with digital images. Vibration data can be converted into different images to analyze the original discrete signal. For example, the signal is transformed into the SDP image. Then the convolution neural network is used to identify the SDP features under different vibration states [23,24]. The fault diagnosis method based on image has been applied to rolling bearing.

The research object of this paper is rolling bearing. This paper adopts the image processing method of data conversion. The feature extraction of different types of bearing fault information is realized through images. Our goal is to achieve accurate classification of bearing faults by converting data into SDP and extracting image features of SDP. And our research objective is to achieve more than 98% accuracy in bearing fault diagnosis. In order to monitor the status of rolling bearings and prevent accidents, our research team has carried out feature extraction, feature reconstruction, feature calculation and feature recognition for bearing fault information. We classify faults by extracting image features of different faults. We extracted, analyzed and set the range of different fault characteristics. When the fault characteristics are within the range, we can determine the fault type. Through extensive experimental data analysis, useful information can be extracted from fault information through convolution neural network [25]. The characteristics of rolling bearing faults can also be diagnosed by pseudo fourth-order moment [26]. SDP images can also be used to diagnose rolling bearing faults under four working conditions through improved Chebyshev distance and improved Manhattan distance [27, 28]. Based on the aforementioned research results, this paper redesigns the signal decomposition scheme and improves the Canberra distance. A fault diagnosis method for bearings based on variational mode decomposition (VMD) and Canberra distance is proposed.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: VMD decomposition and image processing methods are introduced in section 2, and a formula for improving the Canberra distance is given. The experimental design and simulation are carried out in section 3. The accuracy of Canberra distance and improved Canberra distance is compared in section 4. Comparing the accuracy of different signal decomposition methods verifies the effectiveness of the diagnostic methods based on VMD and Canberra distance. Finally, conclusion remarks are given in section 5.

Basic principles

Variational mode decomposition

Variational mode decomposition can adaptively decompose the original signal, which can be transformed into the corresponding variational problem construction and solution. It is mainly divided into the following three steps.

The first step is to convert the real signal into an analytical signal. This step makes the signal have amplitude and phase in the complex domain. Hilbert transform to find the analytical signal, by multiplying with $e^{-j\omega_k t}$, the formula is as follows:

$$(\gamma(t) + \frac{J}{\pi t}) * v_k(t) \tag{1}$$

The second step is to use $e^{-j\omega_k t}$ to correct the signal. By multiplying with the operator $e^{-j\omega_k t}$, the central band of v_k (*t*) is modulated to the corresponding baseband, as shown in eq.2. The third step is to estimate the bandwidth of the signal. Calculate the square norm of the demodulation gradient, as shown in eq.3. The main purpose of this step is to ensure that the decomposed signal has its own center frequency. The constraint condition is that the sum of all modes is equal to the original signal.

$$[(\gamma(t) + \frac{j}{\pi t}) * v_k(t)]e^{-j\omega_k t}$$
(2)
$$\min_{\{v_k\}\{w_k\}} \{\sum_k \| \mid \partial_t [(\gamma(t) + \frac{j}{\pi t}) * v_k(t)]e^{-j\omega_k t} \|^2 \}$$
(3)
s.t. $\sum_k v_k = s$

where, the v_{μ} represents each mode after decomposition.

The above equation-constrained optimization problem is equivalent to an unconstrained optimization problem through the augmented Lagrang function, and finally each group of modal components v_k is obtained. The modal component calculation formula is shown in eq.4, and the center frequency calculation formula is shown in eq.5.

$$v_k^{n+1} = \frac{f(w) - \sum_{i \neq k} v_i(\omega) + \frac{\lambda(\omega)}{2}}{1 + 2\alpha(\omega_{ik} - \omega_k)^2} \tag{4}$$

$$\omega_k^{n+1} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \omega |v_k^{n+1}(\omega)|^2 d\omega}{\int_0^\infty |v_k^{n+1}(\omega)|^2 d\omega}$$
(5)

Symmetrized dot pattern

Vibration signal is a discrete signal that fluctuates within a certain amplitude range. The signal will become multiple signal components after the Variable mode decomposition in section 2.1. The next work to be done is to select a suitable signal component. Then convert this component into a Symmetrized dot pattern. Through the calculation of the amplitude of one-dimensional signal by eq.6, eq.7 and eq.8, the signal data are projected onto the polar coordinate space $Z(r(i), \Theta(i), \varphi(i))$ and converted into a more intuitive two-dimensional image. The information of the signal is amplified, and the feature information is more easily extracted. An amplitude signal of one-dimensional original data can be mapped to two symmetrical points in the two-dimensional SDP diagram. Then, by rotating different angles, multiple amplitude data can obtain multi-branch images like snowflakes in different angles.

$$r(n) = \frac{x_n - x_{min}}{x_{max} - x_{min}} \tag{6}$$

$$\alpha(n) = \theta + \frac{x_{n+l} - x_{min}}{x_{max} - x_{min}} M \tag{7}$$

$$\beta(n) = \theta - \frac{x_{n+l} - x_{min}}{x_{max} - x_{min}} M \tag{8}$$

where, x_{max} and x_{min} represent respectively the minimum and maximum values of the original sample signal, the θ is the angle of rotation between each branch, the *l* is to select the time interval parameters of the original signal, the *M* is the magnification of the angle, the x_n represents the *n*th amplitude of the signal.

Through the above formula, the time-varying signal in time domain can be converted into a binary image in polar coordinates. The principle of the transformation is shown in fig.1, which shows that SDP is rotationally symmetrical relative to the initial line.



Figure 1: Symmetrized dot pattern.

Image processing

Image binarization is often used in image processing. Compared with color image and gray image, the binary image contains only black and white information, which reflects the contour of the image more clearly, and reduces the amount of information of the image, thereby improving the efficiency of the calculation. By converting the original image to gray image, each pixel is represented by gray information from 0 to 255, and by selecting the appropriate threshold, each pixel is converted to 0 or 255, so that the image has an obvious black and white effect. The binary conversion is shown in eq.9. Through conversion, the pixel information of such an image only needs to be represented by 0 and 255, highlighting the more important information such as shape and density of the image.

$$B(i,j) = \begin{cases} 255 & b(i,j) \ge T \\ 0 & b(i,j) < T \end{cases}$$
(9)

Where, T is the threshold.

Canberra distance and its improvement

Canberra distance is very sensitive to changes in values greater than or equal to 0, and does not consider the correlation between variables. The calculation formula of Canberra distance between vector x_i and vector y_i is as follows eq.10.

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x_i| + |y_i|} \right|$$
(10)

where, x_i and y_i represent respectively vectors of the same length.

In fig.2, the yellow line is the Euclidean distance from point O to point C, which is also the straight-line distance from (0,0) to (3,4). The value of this distance is 5. The green line is the Manhattan distance from point O to point C. It can only be calculated by adding up the lines in a parallel coordinate system. The relative distance between the horizontal coordinate point O and point C is 3, and the relative distance between the vertical coordinate point O and point C is 4. Therefore, the Manhattan distance is the sum of the horizontal and vertical distances. The distance from Manhattan distance. The Manhattan distance from (0, 0) to (3, 4) is shown by the red line in the figure. Manhattan distance is 2.



Figure 2: Schematic diagram of the three distances

The binary image can be regarded as a square matrix. Eigenvalue is a very important property of a matrix. Among many eigenvalues of a square matrix, the largest eigenvalue can best reflect the characteristics of the matrix. Therefore, we use eigenvalues to improve the Canberra distance. The eigenvalue calculation of matrix is shown in eq.11. Among the n eigenvalues, we select the largest eigenvalue as the feature of the image. As shown in eq.12. In the n Canberra distances of the image, we select the maximum value as the feature of the image. As shown in eq.12. Finally, we combine the maximum eigenvalue and the

maximum Canberra distance to obtain an improved Canberra distance as shown in eq.14.

$$|\lambda_n I - A(x, y)| = 0 \qquad (11)$$

where, A is the image matrix. *I* is the n-order identity matrix. n is the order.

$$\lambda_{max} = \max(\lambda_n) \tag{12}$$

where, a is the maximum eigenvalue.

$$d_m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d(x_i - y_i)}{n}$$
 (13)

where, d_m is the maximum Canberra distance.

$$D = \alpha * \lambda_{max} + \beta * d_m \qquad (14)$$

where, *a* and *b* are weighting coefficients.

Scheme Design

Scheme plan

The flow design of rolling bearing fault information is shown in fig.3. First, determine the number of layers of the VMD decomposition, and the sample data with different fault signal length of 6000 is selected. The appropriate decomposition level is determined by comparing the average instantaneous frequency of the signal. Then the moving average filter is used to filter the decomposed and reconstructed signals. Select different sequence length for filtering. By judging the correlation coefficient of signals before and after filtering, the optimal filtering sequence length is selected.



Figure 3: Process design

Use the SDP image to extract the features of different faults, and select the sample data under different working conditions. The data length is 6000, the VMD decomposition layer is K, and the moving average filter sequence length is N. Then the SDP images are plotted and the mean image is calculated. The Canberra distance and the largest eigenvalue between the mean image and the ten images are calculated. The optimal weight of Canberra distance and the largest eigenvalue is selected as the basis for fault diagnosis. Finally, randomly select data for testing. Determine which fault type the data belongs to by improving whether the Canberra distance is within the corresponding range, and calculate the accuracy.

Simulation experiment and analysis

This experiment is based on the data of Case Western Reserve University. There is a two-horsepower motor on the left and a power meter on the right. The fault diameters of 0.1778 mm and 0.3556 mm are selected for different types of faults. The bearing test platform is shown in fig.4.



Figure 4: Rolling bearing Test Platform

In this paper, seven different bearing working conditions are selected. And the driving end frequency of the rolling bearing is 12khz. The seven working conditions are one normal working condition, two ball bearing faults, two inner ring faults and two outer ring faults. The collected bearing specifications and processing steps for each working condition are shown in fig.5, and the final unified test.





After each data collection, the obtained data is decomposed by VMD and filtered through a moving mean filter. Then, the processed data is divided into ten groups. According to the conversion formula of SDP, they are converted into two-dimensional images. Then the Canberra distance between the ten groups of images and the calculated mean image is calculated, and the improved Canberra Distance is obtained by weighting with the maximum eigenvalue. Then the corresponding improved Canberra Distance range for this group of data is calculated. Finally, the seven working conditions are tested randomly through this set range. Different fault signals will produce different periodic fluctuations with time. Theoretically, the longer the data length selected, the more accurate the extraction of fault signal features. However, since the overall sample size is 120,000, if too much data is selected, the remaining test data will not be convincing. Therefore, the total data is divided into two sections. The first 60,000 data are used to extract features, and the last 60,000 are used for testing. The amount of data selected each time is 6000.

First of all, select a sample with 6,000 data length under normal working conditions. The diameter of the fault is 0.1778mm, and then the sample data is decomposed for VMD. When there are too many decomposition layers, the vibration signal will be over decomposed. Take the normal working condition as an example, when the signal is decomposed into four layers, the signal will be over decomposed. The signal components of the four-layer decomposition are shown in fig.6. The horizontal axis of the image is frequency. The vertical axis of the image is the amplitude of the signal component. It can be seen from the figure. As can be seen from fig.6, the center frequency of the first signal is almost the same as that of the third signal. This indicates that the signal is over-decomposed at frequency. Through experimental analysis, when the signal is decomposed into two layers, the signal decomposition effect is the best.



Figure 6: Spectrum diagram of four-layer component under normal working condition.

The decomposed signals obtained for each working condition are shown in fig.7, fig.8, fig.9 and fig.10. From the figures, we can see that the decomposed IMF2 contains less information, while IMF1 contains more signal characteristics of the original signal compared to IMF2. The IMF2 components of ball fault, inner ring fault and outer ring fault are all close to a straight line. Therefore, IMF1 is selected here as the characteristic signal for the subsequent analysis.

Convert the two groups of data decomposed under each working condition into SDP, as shown in fig.11-17 below. It can be seen that IMF2 under different working conditions is basically the same, which can be considered as the decomposition of noise signal. Therefore, IMF1 is used as a useful signal for feature extraction of subsequent processing.



Figure 7: Decomposition signal of normal working condition.



Figure 8: Decomposition signal of ball fault.



Figure 9: Decomposition signal of inner ring fault.



Figure 10: Decomposition signal of outer ring fault.



Figure 11: Component signal and mean image of normal working condition.

After the signal is decomposed by VMD, the data is divided into ten parts, and the data volume of each part is 600. Each data is converted into a symmetrical point pattern image according to the SDP conversion formula, as shown in fig.18. It can be seen from the figure that the SDP images of each working condition are different. Compared with other working conditions, the image corresponding to normal working conditions is longer. Different slice SDP images are different in mode size and edge shape. For example, the image of rolling fault 1 is more complete, while the image of ball fault 2 is thinner. After graying and binarization, each pixel value of the image is only 0 or 1.



Figure 12: Component signal and mean image of ball fault 1.







Figure 14: Component signal and mean image of inner ring fault 1.



Figure 15: Component signal and mean image of inner ring fault 2.



Figure 16: Component signal and mean image of outer ring fault 1.



Figure 17: Component signal and mean image of outer ring fault 2.

| * | * | * | * | ×Nor | ^{mal} * | * | * | * | * |
|------------|-----|------|----|----------|------------------|------------|------------|------|----|
| * | * | * | * | Ball f | ault 1 | * | * | * | * |
| 474 747 | * | ** | * | Ball f | ault 2 | 202 747 | * | * | ** |
| * | * | * | * | Inner ri | ng fault 1 | * | * | * | * |
| 蒜 | st. | \$** | ** | Inner ri | ng fault 2 | 596 786 | ave Par | ale. | 繗 |
| * | * | * | * | Outer r | ing fault 1 | * | * | * | * |
| * | * | * | * | Outer r | ing fault 2 | * | * | * | * |

Figure 18: SDP image after binarization.

According to the pixel matrix of ten images, average the pixel values of the corresponding pixels of ten images. This method can get the average image of ten images. As shown in fig.19, each mean value image contains bearing fault information. The features can be extracted from the original ten images and the mean image.



Figure 19: Symmetrized dot pattern's average image.

In order to easily extract fault information and convenient computer calculations, the intercepting SDP image contains 680*680 pixels, so it can be converted to a matrix of 680*680. After each ten images are averaged, the ten images and the Canberra distance of the mean image, 20 groups are calculated for each working condition, as shown in table 1. According to the data, table 2 can be obtained by Table Is Canberra distance under different working conditions calculating the maximum, minimum and mean values under each condition. As can be seen from the table, bearing failures in different operating conditions have different ranges of Canberra distance. So this Canberra distance is an effective feature of the image.

J Artif Intell Mach Learn & Data Sci | Vol: 1 & Iss: 1

| Group | Normal | Ball fault 1 | Ball fault 2 | Inner ring fault 1 | Inner ring fault 2 | Outer ring fault 1 | Outer ring fault 2 |
|-------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 91.842 | 127.799 | 94.346 | 62.846 | 50.734 | 62.779 | 122.709 |
| 2 | 105.912 | 125.583 | 81.593 | 68.932 | 45.811 | 62.862 | 117.451 |
| 3 | 85.390 | 126.008 | 75.930 | 68.997 | 43.932 | 63.418 | 124.732 |
| 4 | 90.536 | 128.449 | 87.020 | 68.519 | 52.652 | 64.011 | 117.782 |
| 5 | 104.219 | 127.022 | 84.667 | 68.878 | 43.402 | 64.453 | 119.564 |
| 6 | 96.407 | 126.026 | 78.030 | 68.848 | 47.029 | 64.389 | 112.779 |
| 7 | 89.716 | 126.224 | 89.371 | 67.380 | 47.686 | 63.108 | 119.566 |
| 8 | 84.900 | 125.248 | 73.769 | 74.219 | 56.429 | 62.843 | 119.258 |
| 9 | 92.109 | 127.960 | 84.252 | 66.591 | 54.035 | 63.531 | 121.801 |
| 10 | 103.993 | 128.491 | 82.875 | 70.548 | 44.080 | 63.819 | 121.584 |
| 11 | 85.740 | 128.405 | 73.315 | 69.228 | 44.461 | 61.777 | 121.833 |
| 12 | 93.018 | 128.082 | 73.161 | 68.931 | 39.790 | 64.701 | 120.211 |
| 13 | 89.831 | 128.282 | 81.242 | 74.218 | 49.233 | 62.704 | 122.958 |
| 14 | 87.055 | 126.269 | 73.198 | 70.873 | 54.333 | 63.408 | 121.261 |
| 15 | 81.360 | 126.181 | 84.621 | 66.377 | 47.333 | 64.131 | 116.655 |
| 16 | 106.028 | 127.942 | 86.272 | 71.833 | 49.987 | 63.211 | 121.949 |
| 17 | 80.743 | 121.082 | 84.119 | 70.718 | 41.661 | 63.739 | 120.169 |
| 18 | 87.606 | 126.185 | 99.512 | 68.237 | 45.266 | 63.977 | 118.291 |
| 19 | 97.750 | 127.118 | 91.386 | 64.052 | 56.361 | 62.648 | 122.037 |
| 20 | 84.711 | 124.384 | 88.488 | 67.357 | 48.025 | 62.612 | 114.527 |

| Table 1: Canberra distance under different working condit |
|---|
|---|

Table 2: Maximum, minimum and mean of Canberra distance under different conditions

| Group | Normal | Ball fault 1 | Ball fault 2 | Inner ring fault 1 | Inner ring fault 2 | Outer ring fault 1 | Outer ring fault 2 |
|---------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Maximum value | 106.028 | 128.491 | 99.512 | 74.219 | 56.429 | 64.701 | 124.732 |
| Minimum value | 80.743 | 121.082 | 73.161 | 62.846 | 39.790 | 61.777 | 112.779 |
| Mean value | 91.943 | 126.637 | 83.358 | 68.879 | 48.112 | 63.406 | 119.856 |

In order to highlight the characteristics of each working condition, the concept of maximum eigenvalue of mean image is introduced. According to eq.11, adding the maximum eigenvalue, the eigenvalue is a very important property of the matrix. At the same time, after a lot of experiments, $\alpha = 0.99$ and $\beta = 0.01$ obtained the best discrimination effect. Table shows the improvement of twenty groups of calculated Canberra distances. Draw the twenty groups of improved Canberra distances into a boxplot Table 3: Improved Canberra distance under different working conditions.

as shown in Figure 20. The upper edge of the blue box in the figure represents the upper quartile of this group of data. The lower edge represents the lower quartile of the data. The red line represents the median of the data. It can be seen from the figure that the improved Canberra distance of each working condition is concentrated in a certain range. And the improved Canberra distance of each working condition fluctuates in different ranges. Therefore, this feature can be used as the basis for fault classification.

| Group | Normal | Ball fault 1 | Ball fault 2 | Inner ring fault 1 | Inner ring fault 2 | Outer ring fault 1 | Outer ring fault 2 |
|-------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 599.808 | 585.610 | 602.472 | 616.067 | 635.017 | 621.671 | 587.452 |
| 2 | 591.643 | 586.422 | 608.426 | 613.104 | 631.214 | 622.052 | 589.491 |
| 3 | 600.903 | 586.327 | 610.233 | 613.378 | 631.417 | 622.408 | 586.793 |
| 4 | 599.243 | 584.689 | 605.225 | 614.344 | 630.062 | 621.324 | 590.211 |
| 5 | 592.389 | 585.871 | 607.452 | 613.640 | 634.565 | 621.897 | 589.082 |
| 6 | 597.475 | 586.243 | 608.487 | 612.777 | 633.479 | 622.301 | 591.960 |

| 7 | 599.148 | 585.626 | 602.091 | 614.622 | 632.753 | 621.677 | 588.752 |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 8 | 601.307 | 586.674 | 612.999 | 611.962 | 627.054 | 621.692 | 589.107 |
| 9 | 598.812 | 585.515 | 606.030 | 616.164 | 624.711 | 621.674 | 587.649 |
| 10 | 593.390 | 584.476 | 607.184 | 613.466 | 635.512 | 621.633 | 588.000 |
| 11 | 601.604 | 585.041 | 610.820 | 613.416 | 636.399 | 622.229 | 587.301 |
| 12 | 597.979 | 584.992 | 610.967 | 612.372 | 635.816 | 621.689 | 588.475 |
| 13 | 599.521 | 584.949 | 608.604 | 612.390 | 631.697 | 622.002 | 587.242 |
| 14 | 600.243 | 585.982 | 612.710 | 613.155 | 624.871 | 622.509 | 587.722 |
| 15 | 602.067 | 586.248 | 603.533 | 614.643 | 629.261 | 621.406 | 589.584 |
| 16 | 591.196 | 585.485 | 603.497 | 612.328 | 627.941 | 621.420 | 587.472 |
| 17 | 601.921 | 588.744 | 605.987 | 611.279 | 636.410 | 621.900 | 588.585 |
| 18 | 599.889 | 586.275 | 599.564 | 615.249 | 633.736 | 621.582 | 589.060 |
| 19 | 595.858 | 585.423 | 602.989 | 615.368 | 627.484 | 622.823 | 587.987 |
| 20 | 600.680 | 587.235 | 603.939 | 615.407 | 628.799 | 621.494 | 590.830 |
| Maximum value | 602.067 | 588.744 | 612.999 | 616.164 | 636.410 | 622.823 | 591.960 |
| Minimum value | 591.196 | 584.476 | 599.564 | 611.279 | 624.711 | 621.324 | 586.793 |
| Mean value | 598.254 | 585.891 | 606.660 | 613.757 | 631.410 | 621.869 | 588.638 |



Figure 20: Range of improved Canberra distance under different working conditions.

Finally, we use the improved Canberra distance to diagnose the type of fault. The main algorithm is to set the upper and lower limits of the improved Canberra distance for each condition to determine the type of fault. First you need to calculate the difference between the maximum and minimum distances to improve Canberra for each condition. Select the minimum value of the difference as one of the conditions for calculating the upper and lower bounds. The average of each working condition plus half of the minimum difference is taken as the upper bound. The mean of each condition is subtracted by half of the minimum difference as the lower limit. Judgment range of each condition is shown in Table 4.

Comparative Experiments

The above experiments are based on Case Western Reserve University to analyze the experiments. Here, the validity of the above method is verified using its own platform, and $_8$ the experimental equipment is shown in fig.21. The speed and horsepower of the motor can be controlled by the speed controller. The speed can be rotated in the range of 0 r/min and 3600 r/min when there is no load, and also the bearings have various failure types to meet the experimental requirements.

Table 4: Comparison of accuracy between original Canberra distance and improved Canberra.

| Group | Original Canberra Distance | Present method |
|------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | 65.00% | 98.00% |
| 2 | 66.33% | 99.67% |
| 3 | 63.33% | 98.67% |
| 4 | 67.00% | 99.00% |
| 5 | 66.00% | 99.33% |
| 6 | 71.33% | 98.00% |
| 7 | 68.00% | 99.33% |
| 8 | 69.33% | 100.00% |
| 9 | 67.00% | 100.00% |
| 10 | 68.67% | 97.67% |
| Mean value | 67.20% | 98.97% |



Figure 21: Experimental platform.

Comparative Experiment 1: with original Canberra distance

Calculate the improved Canberra distance of a layer of VMD decomposition, and test it randomly. It can be seen from Table

4 that the average accuracy of the improved Canberra distance in judging the type of rolling bearing fault is 98.97%. Then use the same conditions and the same method to calculate the accuracy of original canberra distance. A layer of VMD is used to decompose the data, and the data is divided into 10 groups and converted into 10 SDP images. The converted image is binarized, and the mean image of ten images is obtained. Only the Canberra distance of ten images and the mean image is calculated. Using the mean value of Canberra distance, calculate the range of original canberra distance for each working condition, and then calculate the accuracy of ten groups of original canberra distance as shown in tab.12. The average accuracy of our method is 97.67%. The average accuracy of improved Canberra distance is 31.77% higher than that of original canberra distance.

Comparative Experiment 2: with different decomposition methods

There are other signal decomposition methods similar to those in this paper. EMD, EEMD and CEEMD are selected to decompose the original signal. The purpose of EMD is to decompose the signal into a superposition of intrinsic mode function. After testing, it is found that the first intrinsic mode function of different decomposition methods contains useful information of the signal, so the first intrinsic mode function is selected as the decomposed data. Convert the data into SDP images, calculate the mean image, and then calculate the Canberra distance and the maximum eigenvalues. According to the method in this paper, the corresponding ranges are obtained respectively, and the accuracy is calculated, as shown in tab.5.

Table 5: Accuracy of various decompositions.

| Group | EMD | EEMD | CEEMD | Present method |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|
| 1 | 89.67% | 89.33% | 95.67% | 98.00% |
| 2 | 93.67% | 92.33% | 97.00% | 99.67% |
| 3 | 94.67% | 92.33% | 96.33% | 98.67% |
| 4 | 91.33% | 92.33% | 96.00% | 99.00% |
| 5 | 92.67% | 89.00% | 94.33% | 99.33% |
| 6 | 92.67% | 89.67% | 97.00% | 98.00% |
| 7 | 93.33% | 93.00% | 95.67% | 99.33% |
| 8 | 91.00% | 94.00% | 96.00% | 100.00% |
| 9 | 90.67% | 94.67% | 93.67% | 100.00% |
| 10 | 88.67% | 91.33% | 95.67% | 97.67% |
| Mean value | 91.83% | 91.80% | 95.73% | 98.97% |

The average accuracy of EMD decomposition is 91.83%, the maximum accuracy is 94.67%, and the minimum accuracy is 88.67%. The average accuracy of EEMD decomposition is 91.80%, the maximum accuracy is 97.00%, and the minimum accuracy is 89.00%. The average accuracy of CEEMD decomposition is 95.73%, the maximum accuracy is 97.00%, and the minimum accuracy is 93.67%. Compared with the EMD decomposition method, the accuracy of the method in this paper is increased by 7.14%, 7.17% higher than that of the EEMD decomposition method, and 3.24% higher than that of CEEMD.

Conclusion

In this paper, a method for diagnosing bearings based on VMD decomposition and Canberra distance in SDP images is investigated. The accuracy of the method in this paper is 98.97% in bearing fault diagnosis. In comparative experiment 1, the improved Canberra distance method improves the accuracy. In comparative experiment 2, the present method has better

diagnostic effect than other decomposition methods. In two comparative experiments, the present has high classification performance. In future work, we propose to improve the processing speed of this method. In addition, improving image quality is also a feasible research direction in the future. This method provides a new idea for signal processing. Signal classification based on image features can be applied in other fields.

References

- Lin, H., Wu, F., & He, G. (2020). Rolling bearing fault diagnosis using impulse feature enhancement and nonconvex regularization. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 142, 106790. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2020.106790
- Zi, W., & Zhu, C. (2020). A new model for analyzing the vibration behaviors of rotor-bearing system. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 83*, 105130. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.105130
- Wang, H., Liu, Z., Peng, D., & Qin, Y. (2019). Understanding and learning discriminant features based on multiattention 1DCNN for wheelset bearing fault diagnosis. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 16(9), 5735-5745. https://doi.org/10.1109/ TII.2019.2955540
- Xia, T., Zhuo, P., Xiao, L., Du, S., Wang, D., & Xi, L. (2021). Multi-stage fault diagnosis framework for rolling bearing based on OHF Elman AdaBoost-Bagging algorithm. *Neurocomputing*, 433, 237-251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2020.10.003
- Wang, T., Liu, Z., Lu, G., & Liu, J. (2020). Temporal-spatio graph based spectrum analysis for bearing fault detection and diagnosis. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 68*(3), 2598-2607. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2020.2975499
- Liu, D., Cheng, W., & Wen, W. (2021). Intelligent cross-condition fault recognition of rolling bearings based on normalized resampled characteristic power and self-organizing map. *Mechanical Systems* and Signal Processing, 153, 107462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ymssp.2020.107462
- Li, X., Zhang, W., & Ding, Q. (2019). Understanding and improving deep learning-based rolling bearing fault diagnosis with attention mechanism, *Signal processing*, 161, 136-154. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.sigpro.2019.03.019
- Niu, G., Wang, X., Golda, M., Mastro, S., & Zhang, B. (2021). An optimized adaptive PReLU-DBN for rolling element bearing fault diagnosis. *Neurocomputing*, 445, 26-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neucom.2021.02.078
- Hoang, D-T., & Kang, H-J. (2019). A survey on deep learning based bearing fault diagnosis. *Neurocomputing*, 335, 327-335. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neucom.2018.06.078
- Li, C., Li, S., Zhang, A., He, Q., Liao, Z., & Hu, J. (2021). Metalearning for few-shot bearing fault diagnosis under complex working conditions. Neurocomputing, 439, 197-211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neucom.2021.01.099
- Guo, S., Zhang, B., Yang, T., Lyu, D., & Gao, W. (2019). Multitask convolutional neural network with information fusion for bearing fault diagnosis and localization. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 67(9), 8005-8015. https://doi.org/10.1109/ TIE.2019.2942548
- Zhang, K., Xu, Y., Liao, Z., Song, L., & Chen, P. (2021). A novel Fast Entrogram and its applications in rolling bearing fault diagnosis. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 154, 107582. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2020.107582
- Wang, H., Liu, Z., Peng, D., & Cheng, Z. (2021). Attention-guided joint learning CNN with noise robustness for bearing fault diagnosis and vibration signal denoising. ISA transactions, 128(B), 470-484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2021.11.028
- Ji, F., & Tay, W. P. (2019). A Hilbert space theory of generalized graph signal processing. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 67(24), 6188-6203. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2019.2952055
- Yang, J., Huang, D., Zhou, D., & Liu, H. (2020). Optimal IMF selection and unknown fault feature extraction for rolling bearings with

different defect modes. Measurement, 157, 107660. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.measurement.2020.107660

- Cheng, J., Yang, Y., Shao, H., Pan, H, Zheng, J., & Cheng, J. (2022). Enhanced periodic mode decomposition and its application to composite fault diagnosis of rolling bearings. ISA transactions, 125, 474-491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2021.07.014
- Cheng, J., Yang, Y., Li, X., & Cheng, J. (2021). Adaptive periodic mode decomposition and its application in rolling bearing fault diagnosis. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 161, 107943. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2021.107943
- Haidong, S., Junsheng, C., Hongkai, J., Yu, Y., & Zhantao, W. (2020). Enhanced deep gated recurrent unit and complex wavelet packet energy moment entropy for early fault prognosis of bearing. *Knowledge-Based Systems, 188*, 105022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. knosys.2019.105022
- Chen, G., Liu, M, & Chen, J. (2020). Frequency-temporal-logic-based bearing fault diagnosis and fault interpretation using Bayesian optimization with Bayesian neural networks. *Mechanical Systems* and Signal Processing, 145, 106951. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ymssp.2020.106951
- Islam, M. M. M., Prosvirin, A. E., & Kim, J-M. (2021). Data-driven prognostic scheme for rolling-element bearings using a new health index and variants of least-square support vector machines. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 160*, 107853. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2021.107853
- Albezzawy, M. N., Nassef, M. G., Sawalhi, N. (2020). Rolling element bearing fault identification using a novel three-step adaptive and automated filtration scheme based on Gini index. *ISA transactions*, 101, 453-460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2020.01.019

- Ding, Y., Jia, M., Miao, Q., & Cao, Y. (2022). A novel time–frequency Transformer based on self–attention mechanism and its application in fault diagnosis of rolling bearings. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 168, 108616. https://doi.org/10.48550/ arXiv.2104.09079
- Li, W., Li, B., Yuan, C., Li, Y., Wu, H., Hu, W., & Wang, F. (2020). Anisotropic convolution for image classification. *IEEE Transactions* on *Image Processing*, 29, 5584-5595. https://doi.org/10.1109/ TIP.2020.2985875
- Park, J., Kim, Y., Na, K., Youn, B. D., Chen, Y., Zuo, M. J., Bae, Y-C. (2022). An image-based feature extraction method for fault diagnosis of variable-speed rotating machinery. *Mechanical Systems* and Signal Processing, 167, 108524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ymssp.2021.108524
- Sun, Y., & Li, S. (2022). Bearing fault diagnosis based on optimal convolution neural network. Measurement, 110702. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.measurement.2022.110702
- Sun, Y., Xu, B., & Wang, X. (2021). Pseudo fourth-order moment based bearing fault feature reconstruction and diagnosis. *ISA transactions*, 118, 238-246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2021.02.005
- Sun, Y., Li, S., & Wang, Y., Wang, X. (2021). Fault diagnosis of rolling bearing based on empirical mode decomposition and improved manhattan distance in symmetrized dot pattern image. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 159, 107817. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2021.107817
- Sun, Y., Li, S., & Wang, X. (2021). Bearing fault diagnosis based on EMD and improved Chebyshev distance in SDP image. *Measurement*, *176*, 109100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. measurement.2021.109100